

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action mailed March 25, 2008, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner enter the above amendments and consider the following remarks. Claims 1-3, 5-16, and 18-22 have been amended to more clearly describe exemplary embodiments of the invention and remain pending in the application. The Applicant respectfully requests further examination and reconsideration of the application in light of the amendments and accompanying remarks.

Rejection of Claims 1-3, 5-16, and 18-22 Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 5-16, and 18-22 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakao. The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

The Applicant has amended the claims in order to more clearly describe exemplary embodiments of a rail system comprising a rail component. Nakao, on the other hand, is directed to a composition for gaskets. Nakao does not teach or even suggest a rail component. Therefore, the Applicant respectfully submits that Nakao cannot support the rejection of claims 1-3, 5-16, and 18-22 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).

App. No. 10/758,737
Amendment transmitted May 27, 2008
Re: Office Action mailed March 25, 2008

Conclusion

The Applicant has distinguished claims 1-3, 5-16, and 18-22 over the cited reference. Therefore, the Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is now in condition for allowance, and such action is earnestly requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 27, 2008

/Jeffrey C. Norris/

Jeffrey C. Norris
Registration No. 42,039
Standley Law Group LLP
495 Metro Place South
Suite 210
Dublin, Ohio 43017-5319
Telephone: (614) 792-5555
Fax: (614) 792-5536
E-mail: jnorris@standleyllp.com